

Broadly, the Boxer substitute fails to harmonize the timeline for emission reductions with the availability of commercially deployed technologies necessary to reduce emissions.

I look forward to working with my colleagues and the coal industry to find the right balance between imposing a mandatory cap on carbon emissions while ensuring the future of coal.

Some issues we need to consider are: Providing technology funding and incentives;

Adding a carbon dioxide storage liability framework; adding a safety-valve; aligning emissions caps/targets with technology; improving allocations; address duplicative State programs; and others.

Mr. SPECTER. I thank the Chair, and I yield the floor.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from California.

Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, I say that my friend from Pennsylvania has been a great leader on this, and I am ready right now, as is Senator WARNER, as is Senator LIEBERMAN, to start debating amendments. Unfortunately, the Republican leadership has said we need to run out 30 hours, so we are not going to be able to begin the amendment process. But it runs out tonight and, hopefully, first thing in the morning we will start with the amendment process.

Mr. President, I have a unanimous consent request, signed off on by Senator INHOFE and myself, and I ask unanimous consent that the order of speakers for this afternoon's debate on the motion to proceed to the climate bill be as follows: BOXER, 20 minutes; INHOFE, 30 minutes; KERRY, 20 minutes; BARRASSO, 15 minutes; WHITEHOUSE, 15 minutes; GRASSLEY, 15 minutes; CASEY, 15 minutes; ENZI, 20 minutes; CARPER, 30 minutes; ALEXANDER, 20 minutes; WARNER, 20 minutes; BOND, 20 minutes; LIEBERMAN, 30 minutes; VITTER, 15 minutes; NELSON of Florida, 15 minutes; and CRAIG, 15 minutes.

Further, I ask unanimous consent that following each speaker, the bill manager or their designee from the opposite side of the previous speaker have up to 5 minutes for a rebuttal statement prior to the next speaker listed above being recognized.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there objection?

Mr. GREGG. Reserving the right to object.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from New Hampshire.

Mr. GREGG. If the Senator would add me for 15 minutes on that list, I would appreciate it.

Mrs. BOXER. Happy to do that. And, Senator, I will add a Democrat before you, and you will be the next Republican after Senator CRAIG, for 15 minutes.

Mr. GREGG. Thank you. I appreciate it.

Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, I ask that my 20 minutes be made 30, for my purposes.

Mrs. BOXER. That is fine.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## RECESS

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the Senate will stand in recess until after the official Senate photograph.

Thereupon, at 12:43 p.m., the Senate recessed until (2:31 p.m.), and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. CARPER).

Mr. SALAZAR. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

## UNANIMOUS-CONSENT REQUEST— S. 239

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, in a moment I wish to make a motion, but I would like to say as a prelude, for 6 years I have worked on legislation to provide for notification in the event of a data breach. During that period of time, 43 States have passed their own legislation. We would not know of data breaches if it were not particularly for the State of California which has put forward action on several of them.

The bill went to the Judiciary Committee. It has been heard in the Judiciary Committee. With the cooperation and support of the chairman of that committee, Senator LEAHY, the bill has come out unanimously and has been pending before this body. There are holds on the bill.

I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 180, S. 239, data breach modifications; that the committee-reported amendment be considered and agreed to, the bill, as amended, be read a third time, passed, and the motion to reconsider be laid on the table, without further intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, reserving the right to object—and I will object—I value the interest and effort Senator FEINSTEIN has put into this bill. I have also worked on this issue for some time. Last year, I think my bill cleared the committee by unanimous consent, and this year her bill is out on the floor. There are some differences. I commit to Senator FEINSTEIN, post my objection today, that we will try to work together to see if we can reach accord. There are some differences that are significant and some I am sure we can work out. So we will just have to give a good-faith effort at it.

I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

The Senator from Vermont.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, if I could respond to something the Senator from California said, I commend Senator FEINSTEIN for her efforts. She has worked very hard on this privacy matter. I realize there are some who want

to block it. If you are a person who has had your identity stolen, if you have had your computer hacked, and somebody has gone into your bank account or somebody has ruined the chances of your children getting into a college, all from identity theft, you would be rushing down here to vote for this bill. I hope my friends on the other side of the aisle, Republican Senators, will stop objecting. I hope we can pass this legislation.

## CLIMATE SECURITY ACT OF 2008— MOTION TO PROCEED—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, is it appropriate at this time to yield some of my time? I have an hour postcloture; is it appropriate now to yield that to someone?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. It is.

Mr. REID. I yield ½ hour to the Senator from California, Mrs. BOXER.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from California.

Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, to remind the first few speakers, what we have is BOXER for 20 minutes, and I plan to yield 5 of those minutes to Senator DURBIN, then a rebuttal by Senator INHOFE or his designee, then Senator INHOFE for 30 minutes, then a rebuttal by our side, then Senator KERRY for 30 minutes.

I have found this debate so far to be very interesting and very heartfelt. What I would like to do before I yield a few minutes of my time to Senator DURBIN is kind of take it to where it has gone thus far. So far we have had a vote to proceed to this matter, a very strong vote to do that, 74 votes yes. That is good.

What isn't so great is, we are kind of being slow-walked by the Republican leadership in such a way that we can't start the amendment process which, as we all know, is crucial on a bill of this nature. So that is disappointing.

I think the debate has been very interesting, and I would like to relate where I think it is at this point.

Those of us who believe the Boxer-Lieberman-Warner proposal makes sense believe it is time to change the status quo as it relates to our energy policy in this country. What we have now with our dependence on fossil fuels is an energy policy which is now getting very costly because of increased demand in the world, because of speculation, because of a lot of reasons, and it is also polluting the planet to the point where we see the global warming impacts already starting.

My colleague, Senator FEINSTEIN, was brilliant today, both at a press conference and on the floor, in talking about what is already happening in the West with our snow pack, with lakes that are disappearing, with the problems we are having. We know, if we listen to the scientists—and the scientists are in agreement, and I am glad that my colleagues on the other side